arXiv:1602.04585v1 [math.AP] 15 Feb 2016

Extremal function for Moser-Trudinger type Inequality with Logarithmic weight

February 16, 2016

Prosenjit Roy

Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Center for Applicable Mathematics, Bangalore, 560065, India.

Abstract

On the space of weighted radial Sobolev space, the following generalization of Moser-Trudinger type inequality was established by Calanchi and Ruf in dimension 2 : If $\beta \in [0,1)$ and $w_0(x) = |\log|x||^\beta$ then

$$\sup_{\int_B |\nabla u|^2 w_0 \leq 1, u \in H^1_{0,rad}(w_0,B)} \int_B e^{\alpha u^{\frac{2}{1-\beta}}} dx < \infty,$$

if and only if $\alpha \leq \alpha_\beta = 2\left[2\pi(1-\beta)\right]^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}}$. We prove the existence of an extremal function for the above inequality for the critical case when $\alpha = \alpha_\beta$ thereby generalizing the result of Carleson-Chang who proved the case when $\beta = 0$.

1 Introduction

Let Ω be a smooth bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$. For $p < n$ it is well known from Sobolev embedding that the space

$$W_0^{1,p}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^p(\Omega)$$

continuously, if $1 \leq p \leq p^* = \frac{np}{n-p}$. The Moser-Trudinger inequality concerns about the borderline case, that is when $p = n$. In this case

$$W_0^{1,n}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^p(\Omega)$$

for all $p \in [1,\infty)$. The embedding is not true for case $p = \infty$. For $n = 2$ one can take the function

$$f(x) = \log\left(1 - \log|x|\right)$$

in unit ball B centered at origin, then it is easy to check that $f \in W_0^{1,2}(B)$ but clearly it is not in $L^\infty(B)$. Hence one may look for maximal growth function g such that

$$\int_\Omega g(u) < \infty, \ \forall u \in W_0^{1,n}(\Omega).$$

It was shown by Trudinger in [20], that such a g should be of the form

$$g(t) = e^{t^{\frac{n}{n-1}}}.$$

There was a further improvement by Moser who proved the following theorem:

Theorem 1 [Moser] *Let $u \in W_0^{1,n}(\Omega)$ with*

$$\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^n \leq 1.$$

Then there exist a constant $C > 0$ depending only on n, such that

$$\int_\Omega e^{\alpha u^{\frac{n}{n-1}}} \leq C|\Omega|, \quad \text{if } \alpha \leq \alpha_n := n\omega_{n-1}^{\frac{1}{n-1}} \tag{1}$$

where w_{n-1} is the $(n-1)$ dimensional surface measure of the unit sphere and the above result is false if $\alpha > \alpha_n$. Here $|\Omega|$ denotes the n dimensional Lebesgue measure of the set Ω.

Then the next natural question was if there exist an extremal function for the inequality in (1). Carleson-Chang [6] showed that the answer to the above question is positive if the domain is a ball. In [18] Struwe proved the case when the domain is close to a ball. Flucher in [9] provided a positive answer for the case of any general domain Ω in 2 dimensions. The higher dimension case for general domains was done by Lin in [11]. Moser-Trudinger type inequality had been an interesting topic of research for several authors. We list a few of them [1, 2, 3, 7, 8, 13, 10, 12, 14, 15, 18] and the references there in for the available literature in this direction.

Let $n = 2$ and

$$w_0 = |\log|x||^\beta$$

be defined on the unit ball B and $H_0^1(w_0, B)$ denotes the usual weighted Sobolev space defined as the completion of $C_c^\infty(B)$(the space of smooth functions with compact support) functions with respect to the norm

$$||u||_{w_0} := \left(\int_B |\nabla u|^2 w_0 dx\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

The subspace of radial functions in $H_0^1(w_0, B)$ is denoted by $H_{0,rad}^1(w_0, B)$.

The following theorem by Calanchi-Ruf in [5] generalizes the Moser-Trudinger inequality for balls. The work of this paper is based on this generalization.

Theorem 2 *Let $\beta \in [0, 1)$ and $n = 2$, then*

$$\sup_{||u||_{w_0} \leq 1, u \in H_{0,rad}^1(w_0,B)} \int_B e^{\alpha u^{\frac{2}{1-\beta}}} dx < \infty \tag{2}$$

if and only if $\alpha \leq \alpha_\beta := 2\left[2\pi(1-\beta)^{\frac{2}{1-\beta}}\right]$ and the inequality above is false if $\alpha > \alpha_\beta$.

They have also obtained the optimal Moser-Trudinger type inequality for the case $\beta = 1$ which we do not mention here. For $\beta = 0$ the above theorem is precisely Moser-Trudinger inequality for balls in 2 dimensions. This is obvious after using a symmetrization argument. In this work we are concerned with the existence of extremal function for the inequality in (2) for the critical case i.e. $\alpha = \alpha_\beta$. In the sub critical case ($\alpha < \alpha_\beta$) the issue of existence of an extremizer is not very difficult, as one can use Vitalli's convergence theorem to pass through the limit. This issue is adressed in [5]. Also very recently in [4] Calanchi-Ruf had also established such logarithmic Moser-Trudinger type inequalities in higher dimensions.

For each $\beta \in [0, 1)$, let $J_\beta : H^1_{0,rad}(w_0, B) \to \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$J_\beta(u) := \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B e^{\alpha_\beta u^{\frac{2}{1-\beta}}} dx$$

denotes Logarithmic Moser-Trudinger functional. The following is our main result:

Theorem 3 [Main Result] *There exist $u_\beta \in H^1_{0,rad}(w_0, B)$ such that*

$$J_\beta(u_\beta) = \sup_{||u||_{w_0} \le 1, u \in H^1_{0,rad}(w_0,B)} J_\beta(u),$$

for all $\beta \in [0, 1)$.

The main difficulty in proving the existence of extremizer lies in the fact that the functional J_β is not continuous with respect to the weak convergence of the space $H^1_{0,rad}(w_0, B)$. The following sequence $w_k \in H^1_{0,rad}(w_0, B)$ (power of Moser sequence), defined as

$$w_k(x) = \alpha_\beta^{\frac{\beta-1}{2}} \begin{cases} k^{\frac{1-\beta}{2}} & \text{in } 0 \le |x| \le e^{-\frac{k}{2}}, \\ \left(\frac{-2\log|x|}{\sqrt{k}}\right)^{1-\beta} & \text{on } e^{-\frac{k}{2}} \le |x| \le 1, \end{cases} \tag{3}$$

has the property that $w_k \rightharpoonup 0$ in $H^1_{0,rad}(w_0, B)$ but $J_\beta(w_k) \nrightarrow J_\beta(0)$. We refer to Section 2 for the proof of the last statement.

Definition (Concentration): A sequence of functions $u_k \in H^1_{0,rad}(w_0, B)$ is said to concentrate at $x = 0$, denoted by

$$|\nabla u_k|^2 w_0 \rightharpoonup \delta_0,$$

if $||u_k||_{w_0} \le 1$ and for any given $1 > \delta > 0$,

$$\int_{B \setminus B_\delta} |\nabla u_k|^2 w_0 \to 0,$$

where B_δ denotes the ball of radius δ at origin.

Definition (Concentration Level $J^\delta_\beta(0)$):

$$J^\delta_\beta(0) := \sup_{\{w_m\}_m \in H^1_{0,rad}(w_0,B)} \left\{ \limsup_{m\to\infty} J(w_m) \;\middle|\; |\nabla w_m|^2 w_0 \rightharpoonup \delta_0 \right\}.$$

The method of the proof of our main result follows similar idea as it is done in [6]. First in Lemma 5 we show that a maximizing sequence can loose compactness only if it concentrates at 0. Then in Lemma 9 the concentration level is explicitly calculated. It is shown that for all $\beta \in [0,1)$

$$(1) \quad J_\beta^\delta(0) \leq 1 + e.$$

Note that the right hand side of the above inequality is independent of β.

Finally we finish the proof by showing that for all $\beta \in [0,1)$ one can find $v_\beta \in H^1_{0,rad}(w_0, B)$ such that

$$(2) \quad J_\beta(v_\beta) > 1 + e.$$

As a direct application of the above theorem one obtains existence of radial solution of the following nonlinear elliptic Dirichlet (mean field type) problem for $\beta \in [0.1)$:

$$\begin{cases} -\mathrm{div}\,(\mathrm{w_0}\nabla \mathrm{u}) = \frac{\mathrm{u}^{\frac{\beta+1}{\beta-1}} \mathrm{e}^{\alpha_\beta \mathrm{u}^{\frac{2}{1-\beta}}}}{\int_{\mathrm{B}} \mathrm{u}^{\frac{\beta+1}{\beta-1}} \mathrm{e}^{\alpha_\beta \mathrm{u}^{\frac{2}{1-\beta}}}} & \text{in } B, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial B, \\ u > 0 & \text{on } B. \end{cases}$$

2 Some supporting results for the proof of main theorem

At first we will deduce an equivalent formulation of the problem with which we will work in this paper. Let $\gamma = \frac{1}{1-\beta}$. For $u \in H^1_{0,rad}(w_0, B)$ first change the variable as

$$|x| = e^{\frac{-t}{2}} \tag{4}$$

and set

$$\psi(t) = \alpha_\beta^{\frac{1}{2\gamma}} u(x). \tag{5}$$

Then the functional changes as

$$I_\beta(\psi) := \int_0^\infty e^{\psi^{2\gamma}(t) - t} dt = \frac{1}{|B|} \int_B e^{\alpha_\beta u^{2\gamma}} dx = J_\beta(u) \tag{6}$$

and the weighted gradient norm changes as

$$\Gamma(\psi) := \int_0^\infty \frac{|\psi'|^2 t^\beta}{1-\beta} dt = \int_B |\nabla u|^2 |\log |x||^\beta dx.$$

For $\delta \in (0,1]$, define

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_\delta := \left\{ \phi \in C^1(0,\infty) \;\middle|\; \phi(0) = 0,\ \Gamma(\phi) \leq \delta \right\}$$

and now since

$$\sup_{||u||_{w_0} \leq 1, u \in H^1_{0,rad}(w_0,B)} \int_B e^{\alpha_\beta u^{\frac{2}{1-\beta}}} dx = \sup_{||u||_{w_0} \leq 1, u \in H^1_{0,rad}(w_0,B), smooth} \int_B e^{\alpha_\beta u^{\frac{2}{1-\beta}}} dx,$$

the problem reduces in finding $\psi_0 \in \tilde{\Lambda_1}$ such that

$$M_\beta := I_\beta(\psi_0) = \sup_{\psi \in \tilde{\Lambda_1}} I_\beta(\psi). \tag{7}$$

Lemma 4 *Let w_k be as in (3), then for all $\beta \in [0,1)$,*

$$\liminf_{k\to\infty} J_\beta(w_k) > 1 + \frac{1}{e} > J_\beta(0) = 1.$$

Proof It is clear that $w_k \rightharpoonup 0$ in $H^1_{0,rad}(w_0, B)$. Let $\psi_k(t) = \alpha_\beta^{\frac{1}{2\gamma}} w_k(x)$ and $|x| = e^{-\frac{t}{2}}$. Then in view of (6), it is enough to show that

$$\liminf_{k\to\infty} I_\beta(\psi_k) > 1.$$

Then

$$I_\beta(\psi_k) = \int_0^k e^{\frac{t^2}{k} - t} dt + \int_k^\infty e^k e^{-t} dt = k \int_0^1 e^{k(t^2-t)} dt + 1.$$

Note that the function e^{t^2-t} is monotone decreasing on $[0, \frac{1}{2}]$, monotone increasing on $[\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ and strictly positive. Therefore one has

$$k \int_0^1 e^{k(t^2-t)} > k e^{k(\frac{1}{k^2} - \frac{1}{k})} \frac{1}{k} = e^{\frac{1}{k}} e^{-1}.$$

This implies that

$$\liminf_{k\to\infty} I_\beta(\psi_k) > 1 + \frac{1}{e}.$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. ■

Let $\tilde{g}_m$ be a maximizing sequence i.e. $J_\beta(\tilde{g}_m) \to M_\beta$. Since

$$\int_B |\nabla \tilde{g_m}|^2 |\log|x||^\beta dx \le 1,$$

one can find up to a subsequence (which we again denote by $\tilde{g}_m$) and for some function $\tilde{g}_0 \in H^1_{0,rad}(w_0, B)$

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{g}_m &\rightharpoonup \tilde{g}_0 \quad \text{in } H^1_{0,rad}(w_0, B), \\ \tilde{g}_m &\to \tilde{g}_0 \quad \text{pointwise.} \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

The next lemma is equivalent to concentration-compactness alternative, for Moser-Trudinger case, by P. L. Lions in [12]. As a consequence of the next lemma it would be enough to prove that the sequence $\tilde{g_m}$ does not concentrates at 0, in order to pass thought the limit in the functional.

Lemma 5 [Concentration-Compactness alternative] *For any sequence $\tilde{w_m}, \tilde{w} \in H^1_{0,rad}(w_0, B)$ such that $\tilde{w_m} \rightharpoonup \tilde{w}$ in $H^1_{0,rad}(w_0, B)$, then for a subsequence, either*

$$(1)\ I_\beta(\tilde{w_m}) \to I_\beta(\tilde{w}),$$

or

$$(2)\ \tilde{w_m} \text{ concentrates at } x = 0.$$

Proof Let us assume that (1) does not hold. Then it is enough to show that for each $A > 0$, as $m \to \infty$, it implies that

$$\int_{B\setminus B_{e^{\frac{-A}{2}}}} |\nabla \tilde{w_m}||\log|x||^{\beta} dx = \int_0^A \frac{|w_m^{'}|^2 t^{\beta}}{1-\beta} dt \to 0$$

where

$$\alpha_{\beta}^{\frac{1}{2\gamma}} \tilde{w_m}(x) = w_m(t),\ \tilde{w}(x) = w(t) \text{ and } |x| = e^{-\frac{t}{2}}.$$

We argue by contradiction. Then there exists some $A > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ with

$$\int_0^A \frac{|w_m^{'}|^2 t^{\beta}}{1-\beta} dt \geq \delta, \quad \text{for all } m \geq m_0,$$

for some m_0. Using Fundamental theorem of calculus and Hölder's inequality, we obtain for $t \geq A$,

$$\begin{aligned} w_m(t) - w_m(A) &= \int_A^t w_m^{'}(s) = \sqrt{1-\beta} \int_A^t \frac{w_m^{'}(s) s^{\frac{\beta}{2}}}{\sqrt{1-\beta} s^{\frac{\beta}{2}}} \\ &\leq \sqrt{1-\beta} \left(\int_A^t \frac{|w_m^{'}|^2 s^{\beta}}{1-\beta} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_A^t s^{-\beta} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \left(\int_A^t \frac{|w_m^{'}|^2 s^{\beta}}{1-\beta} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(t^{1-\beta} - A^{1-\beta} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq (1-\delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(t^{1-\beta} - A^{1-\beta} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq (1-\delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} t^{\frac{1-\beta}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Now using the inequality $w_m(A) \leq A^{\frac{1-\beta}{2}}$ for all m, we have for $t \geq N$, (for sufficiently large N)

$$w_m(t)^{\frac{2}{1-\beta}} \leq \left\{ A^{\frac{1-\beta}{2}} + (1-\delta)^{\frac{1}{2}} t^{\frac{1-\beta}{2}} \right\}^{\frac{2}{1-\beta}} \leq A + \left(1 - \frac{\delta}{2} \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}} t. \tag{9}$$

In the last step we have used the following inequality: If $\mu > \gamma > 0$, $p > 1$ then for sufficiently large $y \in \mathbb{R}$, one has

$$(1+\gamma y)^p \leq 1 + \mu^p y^p.$$

Therefore from (9), we have

$$I_2^m(w_m) := \int_N^{\infty} e^{w_m(t)^{\frac{2}{1-\beta}} - t} dt \leq e^A \int_N^{\infty} e^{\left[\left(1-\frac{\delta}{2}\right)^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}} - 1 \right] t},$$

which can be made less than any arbitrary positive number ϵ, after choosing N large enough. Since we know that $\tilde{w_m}$ converges pointwise to $\tilde{w_m}$, this implies that w_m also converges pointwise to w.

Now we split $I_{\beta}(w_m) = I_1(w_m) + I_2(w_m)$ where

$$I_1^m(w_m) := \int_0^N e^{w_m(t)^{\frac{2}{1-\beta}} - t} dt.$$

Using the bound $w(t) \leq t^{\frac{1-\beta}{2}}$ and dominated convergence theorem, one obtains

$$I_1(w_m) \to I_1(w)$$

and for $I_2^m(w_m)$ we already know that it can made arbitrarily small. Therefore $I_\beta(w_m) \to I_\beta(w)$ which is a contradiction. ■

An Inequality : For any $w \in C^1(0,\infty)$ and $t \geq A \geq 0$, then we get after using Hölder's inequality, that

$$w(t) - w(A) \leq \left(\int_A^t \frac{|w'|^2 s^\beta}{1-\beta} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(t^{1-\beta} - A^{1-\beta} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \tag{10}$$

We will recall this inequality several times.

The following lemma is proved in [[6] , Lemma 1]. Here we will use it without giving the proof. Let for $\delta > 0$,

$$\Lambda_\delta := \left\{ \phi \in C^1(0,\infty) \;\middle|\; \phi(0) = 0,\ \int_0^\infty |\phi'|^2 dt \leq \delta \right\}.$$

Lemma 6 [Carleson-Chang] *For each $c > 0$, we have*

$$\sup_{\phi \in \Lambda_\delta} \int_a^\infty e^{c\phi(t)-t} dt \ \leq \ e^{\frac{c^2\delta}{4}+1}.$$

The next lemma is a technical result that will be useful in proving Lemma 9.

Lemma 7 *For $a > 0$, if $1 - \gamma\delta > 0$ then*

$$\sup_{\phi \in \tilde{\Lambda}_\delta} \int_a^\infty e^{\phi^{2\gamma}(t)-t} dt \ \leq \frac{e^{1-a}}{(1-\gamma\delta)} e^{\phi^{2\gamma}(a) + \frac{\gamma\phi^{2\gamma}(a)\delta}{(1-\gamma\delta)}}$$

where $\gamma = \frac{1}{1-\beta}$.

Proof Put $w = \sqrt{1-\beta}\phi^\gamma$ for $\phi \in \tilde{\Lambda}_\delta$. Then easy computation gives

$$\int_0^\infty |w'|^2 dt = \int_0^\infty \frac{|\phi'|^2 \phi^{\frac{2\beta}{1-\beta}}}{1-\beta} dt \leq \int_0^\infty \frac{|\phi'|^2 t^\beta}{1-\beta} dt \ \leq \delta$$

and

$$I := \int_a^\infty e^{\phi^{2\gamma}(t)-t} dt = \int_a^\infty e^{\gamma w^2(t)-t} dt.$$

In one of the inequality above we have used (10) with $A = 0$. Now changing the variable as $x = t - a$ and $w(t) = \psi(x) + w(a)$ for all $x \geq 0$. Then it is easy to see that

$$\int_0^\infty |\psi'|^2 dx \leq \delta.$$

From (10) with $\beta = 0,\ A = 0$ we get $\psi^2(x) \leq \delta x$. Using this the functional changes as

$$\begin{aligned} I = \int_a^\infty e^{\gamma w^2(t)-t} dt &= e^{\gamma w^2(a)-a} \int_0^\infty e^{\gamma(2\psi(x)w(a)+\psi^2(x))-x} dx \\ &\leq e^{\gamma w^2(a)-a} \int_0^\infty e^{2\gamma w(a)\psi(x)-(1-\gamma\delta)x} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Further changing the variable to $\chi(y) = \psi(x)$ and $y = (1-\gamma\delta)x$, we get

$$\int_0^\infty |\chi'(y)|^2 dy = \frac{1}{1-\gamma\delta}\int_0^\infty |\psi'|^2 dx \le \frac{\delta}{1-\gamma\delta}.$$

Therefore $\chi \in \Lambda_{\frac{\delta}{1-\gamma\delta}}$ whenever $1-\gamma\delta > 0$. The functional changes as

$$I = \frac{e^{\gamma w^2(a)-a}}{1-\gamma\delta}\int_0^\infty e^{2\gamma w(a)\chi(y)-y}dy = \frac{e^{\phi^{2\gamma}(a)-a}}{1-\gamma\delta}\int_0^\infty e^{2\gamma w(a)\chi(y)-y}dy.$$

Finally applying Lemma 4, we obtain if $1-\gamma\delta > 0$,

$$I \le \frac{e^{\phi^{2\gamma}(a)-a}}{1-\gamma\delta}e^{\gamma^2 w^2(a)\frac{\delta}{1-\gamma\delta}+1} = \frac{e}{1-\gamma\delta}e^{\phi^{2\gamma}(a)-a+\frac{\delta\gamma\phi^{2\gamma}(a)}{1-\gamma\delta}}.$$

This finishes the proof of the lemma. ■

3 Proof on the main theorem

Let $\tilde{f_m} \in H^1_{0,rad}(w_0, B)$ such that $|\nabla \tilde{f_m}|^2 w_0 \rightharpoonup \delta_0$. Then we know that $\tilde{f_m} \rightharpoonup 0$ in $H^1_{0,rad}(w_0, B)$. We further consider f_m such that $J_\beta(\tilde{f_m}) \nrightarrow J_\beta(0) = 1$. Define f_m, from $\tilde{f_m}$, using the same transformation introduced in (4) and (5).

Lemma 8 *Let f_m be as above. There exists a_m, the first point in $[1,\infty)$, with*

$$f_m^{\frac{2}{1-\beta}}(a_m) - a_m = -2\log(a_m) \tag{11}$$

and also this $a_m \to \infty$ as $m \to \infty$.

Proof STEP 1: Existence of a_m

Since $f_m(t) \le t^{\frac{1-\beta}{2}}$, this implies that $f_m(t)^{\frac{2}{1-\beta}} - t \le 0$ if $t \in [0,1)$, while $-2\log(t) > 0$ if $t \in [0,1)$. Therefore $f_m^{\frac{2}{1-\beta}}(t) - t < -2\log(t)$ which implies non existence of such a_m satisfying (11) on the interval $[0,1)$.

Now let us assume the non existence of such a_m's in the interval $[1,\infty)$. This implies that $f_m^{\frac{2}{1-\beta}}(t) - t < -2\log(t)$ on $[1,\infty)$. Or in other words we have

$$e^{f_m(t)^{\frac{2}{1-\beta}}-t} \le \frac{1}{t^2}, \quad \text{if } t \in [1,\infty).$$

One can use dominated convergence theorem, with the dominating function

$$g(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{in } (0,1), \\ \frac{1}{t^2} & \text{on } [1,\infty), \end{cases}$$

to show that $I_\beta(f_m) \to 1$. This is a contradiction to our assumption.

STEP 2 : $a_m \to \infty$

Given K arbitrary large number. It suffices to show that for all $m \geq m_0$, one has $a_m \geq K$. First choose η small, such that

$$\eta t < t - 2\log(t), \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, K). \tag{12}$$

Now using the last lemma we get for $t \in [0, K)$ and $\forall\ m \geq m_0$,

$$f_m(t)^{\frac{2}{1-\beta}} \leq \left(\int_0^K \frac{|w_m^{'}|^2 t^\beta}{1-\beta} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\beta}} t < \eta t \leq t - 2\log(t).$$

This says that $a_m > K$ forall $m \geq m_0$. ■

Lemma 9 [Estimate for Concentration level] *For $\beta \in [0, 1)$ it implies that*

$$J_\beta^\delta(0) \leq 1 + e. \tag{13}$$

Proof First note that it is enough to consider concentrating sequences $\tilde{f_m}$ such that $J_\beta(\tilde{f_m}) \nrightarrow J_\beta(0) = 1$, because in this case the required inequlity (13) is already satisfied.

Step 1 :

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \int_0^{a_m} e^{f_m(t)^{\frac{2}{1-\beta}} - t} dt = 1,$$

where f_m and a_m is as in the previous lemma.

Using Lemma 5 and (10) we notice that $f_m \to 0$ uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^+$. Therefore for each $A,\ \epsilon > 0$, we have $f_m(t)^{\frac{2}{1-\beta}} \leq \epsilon$ for all $t \leq A$ and sufficiently large m. Using the property of a_m, that for all $t \leq a_m$ one has $f_m(t)^{\frac{2}{1-\beta}} \leq t - 2\log(t)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_0^{a_m} e^{f_m(t)^{\frac{2}{1-\beta}} - t} dt &= \int_0^A e^{f_m(t)^{\frac{2}{1-\beta}} - t} dt + \int_A^{a_m} e^{f_m(t)^{\frac{2}{1-\beta}} - t} dt \\
&\leq e^\epsilon \int_0^A e^{-t} dt + \int_A^{a_m} e^{2\log(t)} dt \\
&= e^\epsilon (1 - e^{-A}) + \left(\frac{1}{A} - \frac{1}{a_m} \right) \leq 1,
\end{aligned}$$

as $\epsilon \to 0$ and for large A. For the other way round

$$\int_0^{a_m} e^{f_m(t)^{\frac{2}{1-\beta}} - t} dt \geq \int_0^{a_m} e^{-t} dt = 1 - e^{-a_m} \to 1.$$

Step 2 : We claim that

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \int_{a_m}^\infty e^{f_m(t)^{\frac{2}{1-\beta}} - t} dt \leq e.$$

Set

$$\delta_m = \int_{a_m}^\infty \frac{|f_m'|^2 t^\beta}{1-\beta} dt.$$

Then using the relation (which is obtained from (10))

$$f_m^{2\gamma}(t) \leq \left(\int_0^t \frac{|f_m'|^2 t^\beta}{1-\beta} dt \right)^\gamma t$$

one obtains

$$\delta_m := 1 - \int_0^{a_m} \frac{|f_m'|^2 t^\beta}{1-\beta} dt \leq 1 - \left(\frac{f_m^{2\gamma}(a_m)}{a_m} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} = 1 - \left(1 - \frac{2\log(a_m)}{a_m} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}. \tag{14}$$

In the last inequality we have used the property of the points a_m.

Set $\delta = \delta_m$, $a = a_m$ and $\phi = f_m$ in Lemma 7, then clearly

$$\int_{a_m}^\infty e^{f_m(t)^{\frac{2}{1-\beta}} - t} dt \leq \frac{e^{K_m+1}}{1-\gamma\delta_m}$$

where

$$K_m = \left(f_m^{2\gamma}(a_m) - a_m \right) + \frac{\delta_m \gamma f_m^{2\gamma}(a_m)}{1-\gamma\delta_m}.$$

From the expression in (14), $\delta_m \to 0$ as $m \to \infty$ and therefore $1 - \gamma\delta_m > 0$ which is one of the requirement in Lemma 7. Clearly the lemma will be proved if we show

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} K_m = 0.$$

First of all notice that $K_m > 0$. Now using Lemma 8 and $f_m^{2\gamma}(t) \leq t$, we get

$$K_m \leq -2\log(a_m) + \frac{\delta_m a_m \gamma}{1-\gamma\delta_m}.$$

Using (14), it implies

$$K_m \leq \frac{1}{\gamma_m} \left[-2\log(a_m)\gamma_m + \gamma a_m \left\{ 1 - \left(1 - \frac{2\log(a_m)}{a_m} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \right\} \right],$$

where $\gamma_m = 1 - \gamma\delta_m = 1 - \gamma \left\{ 1 - \left(1 - \frac{2\log(a_m)}{a_m} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \right\}$. Note that $\gamma_m \to 1$ as $m \to \infty$. The lemma will be proved if we show that the function, as $x \to \infty$,

$$-2\log(x) + \gamma x \left\{ 1 - \left(1 - \frac{2\log(x)}{x} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \right\} + 2\gamma\log(x) \left\{ 1 - \left(1 - \frac{2\log(x)}{x} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \right\} \to 0.$$

First let us consider the third part

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} \log(x) \left\{ 1 - \left(1 - \frac{2\log(x)}{x} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}} \right\} = \lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{1 - \left(1 - \frac{2\log(x)}{x} \right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}}{(\log(x))^{-1}}.$$

Using L'Hospital's rule, we obtain

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} \frac{1-\left(1-\frac{2\log(x)}{x}\right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}}{(\log(x))^{-1}} = \frac{2}{\gamma}\lim_{x\to\infty}\left(1-\frac{2\log(x)}{x}\right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}-1}\frac{\log^2(x)(\log(x)-1)}{x} \to 0.$$

We consider the first and the second term together now,

$$\lim_{x\to\infty} -2\log(x)+\gamma x\left\{1-\left(1-\frac{2\log(x)}{x}\right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}\right\} = \lim_{x\to\infty}\frac{\left[\frac{-2\log(x)}{x}+\gamma\left\{1-\left(1-\frac{2\log(x)}{x}\right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}\right\}\right]}{x^{-1}}.$$

Using L'Hospital rule again

$$\lim_{x\to\infty}\frac{\left[\frac{-2\log(x)}{x}+\gamma\left\{1-\left(1-\frac{2\log(x)}{x}\right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}}\right\}\right]}{x^{-1}}$$
$$= 2\lim_{x\to\infty}(\log(x)-1)\left\{1-\left(1-2\frac{\log(x)}{x}\right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}-1}\right\} = 0.$$

To see the last equality one has to to use the following inequality, to show that the limiting value is less than or equal to 0: If $\mu > 0$, then for small $x > 0$

$$(1-x)^{\mu} \geq 1-(\mu+1)x.$$

The other side of the follows since for large x, it implies that

$$(\log(x)-1)\left\{1-\left(1-2\frac{\log(x)}{x}\right)^{\frac{1}{\gamma}-1}\right\} > 0.$$

Summing up the inequalities in Step 1 and Step 2, we get

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} J_\beta(\tilde{f_m}) = \lim_{m\to\infty} I_\beta(f_m) \leq 1+e.$$

Since f_m is any arbitrary sequence this finishes the proof of the lemma. ■

Now we present the proof of the main theorem.

Proof of Theorem 3 :

If possible let $J_\beta(\tilde{g}_m)$ does not converges to $J_\beta(\tilde{g}_0)$, where $\tilde{g}_m,\ \tilde{g}_0$ is as in (8). Then from previous lemma's we know that

$$M_\beta = \lim_{m\to\infty} J_\beta(\tilde{g}_m) \leq 1+e.$$

If we show that, there exist some $\phi \in \tilde{\Lambda}_1$ such that $I_\beta(\phi) > 1+e$, then clearly $M_\beta > 1+e$ and this would be a contradiction. Consider the function $f \in \Lambda_1$, defined as

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{t}{2} & \text{in } 0 \leq t \leq 2, \\ (t-1)^{\frac{1}{2}} & \text{on } 2 \leq t \leq e^2+1, \\ e & \text{on } t \geq e^2+1. \end{cases}$$

Set $\phi = f^{1-\beta}$. It has been verified in [6] that $f \in \tilde{\Lambda_1}$ and

$$I_\beta(f) = \int_0^\infty e^{f^2(t)-t} dt = 1 + e + \varsigma^* > 1 + e$$

for some $\varsigma^* > 0$. We are left to verify that $\phi \in \tilde{\Lambda_1}$. Since $\phi^{'} = 0$ for $t \geq e^2 + 1$, we have

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{|\phi^{'}|^2 t^\beta}{1-\beta} dt = \int_0^2 \frac{|\phi^{'}|^2 t^\beta}{1-\beta} dt + \int_2^{e^2+1} \frac{|\phi^{'}|^2 t^\beta}{1-\beta} dt := I_1 + I_2.$$

Now after simple calculation one obtains

$$I_1 = (1-\beta) \int_0^2 f^{-2\beta} |f'|^2 t^\beta dt = 2^{\beta-1}$$

and

$$I_2 = \frac{(1-\beta)}{4} \int_2^{e^2+1} (t-1)^{-\beta-1} t^\beta dt = \frac{(1-\beta)}{4} \int_1^{e^2} \frac{(m+1)^\beta}{m^{\beta+1}} dm \leq \frac{(1-\beta)}{2}.$$

In the last step above we have used that for $\beta > 0$, it implies that $\psi(\beta) \leq \psi(0) = 2$ where

$$\psi(\beta) = \int_1^{e^2} \frac{(m+1)^\beta}{m^{\beta+1}} dm.$$

Therefore

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{|\phi^{'}|^2 t^\beta}{1-\beta} dt = 2^{\beta-1} + \frac{1-\beta}{2} \leq 1, \ \forall \beta \in [0, 1).$$

This proves that $f \in \tilde{\Lambda_1}$.

Acknowledgments The research work of the author is supported by "Innovation in Science Pursuit for Inspired Research (INSPIRE)" under the IVR Number: 20140000099.

References

[1] Adimurthi, K. Sandeep, A singular Moser-Trudinger embedding and its applications, *NoDEA Nonlinear Differential Equations Appl*, 13 (2007), 585–603.

[2] Adimurthi, C. Tintarev, On compactness in the Trudinger-Moser inequality. *Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci*, 13 (2014), 399–416.

[3] M. Calanchi, B. Ruf, Some weighted inequalities of TrudingerMoser type, *Progress in Nonlinear Differential Equations and Appl*, vol. 85, Birkhäuser, 2014, pp. 163–174.

[4] M. Calanchi, B. Ruf, Trudinger-Moser type inequalities with logarithmic weights in dimension N. *Nonlinear Anal,* 121 (2015), 403–411.

[5] M. Calanchi, B. Ruf, On Trudinger-Moser type inequalities with logarithmic weights, *Journal of differential equations*, 258 (2015), 1967–1989.

[6] L. Carleson, S.-Y. A. Chang, On the existence of an extremal function for an inequality by J. Moser, *Bull. Sci. Math*, 110 (1986), 113–127.

[7] G. Csató, P. Roy, Extremal functions for the singular Moser-Trudinger inequality in 2 dimensions, *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 54 (2015), 2341–2366.

[8] G. Csató, P. Roy, The singular Moser-Trudinger inequality on simply connected domain, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations,* DOI:10.1080/03605302.2015.1123276.

[9] M. Flucher, Extremal functions for the Trudinger-Moser inequality in 2 dimensions, *Comment. Math. Helvetici*, 67 (1992), 471–497.

[10] N. Lam, G. Lu, A new approach to sharp Moser-Trudinger and Adams type inequalities: a rearrangement-free argument. *J. Differential Equations,* 255 (2013), 298–325.

[11] K.-C. Lin, Extremal functions for Moser's inequality. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc*, 348 (1996), 2663–2671.

[12] P.-L. Lions, The concentration-compactness principle in the calculus of variations. The limit case. I, *Rev. Mat. Iberoamericana 1*, (1985), 145–201.

[13] G. Lu, H. Tang, Best constants for Moser-Trudinger inequalities on high dimensional hyperbolic spaces. *Adv. Nonlinear Stud,* 13 (2013), 1035–1052.

[14] A. Malchiodi, L. Martinazzi, Critical points of the Moser-Trudinger functional on a disk, *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, **16** (2014), 893–908.

[15] G. Mancini, K. Sandeep, Moser-Trudinger inequality on conformal discs. *Commun. Contemp. Math,* 12(6) (2010), 1055–1068.

[16] J. Moser, A sharp form of an inequality by N. Trudinger, *Indiana Univ. Math. J*, 20, (1971), 1077–1092.

[17] B. Ruf, A sharp Trudinger-Moser type inequality for unbounded domains in R^2. *J. Funct. Anal,* 219 (2005), 340–367.

[18] M. Struwe, Critical points of embeddings of $H_0^{1,n}$ into Orlicz spaces, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Anal. Non Linéaire*, 5 (1988), 425–464.

[19] G. Mancini, K. Sandeep, C. Tintarev, Trudinger-Moser inequality in the hyperbolic space H^N. *Adv. Nonlinear Anal*, 2 (2013), 309–324.

[20] N. S. Trudinger, On embeddings into Orlicz spaces and some applications, *J. Math. Mech*, 17 (1967), 473–484.